

# **Statistical Analysis of Weight Measurement Data of a Counting Scale.**

Javier Barro Pérez-Ojeda

Hochschule für Technik, Wirtschaft und Gestaltung Konstanz  
Maschinenbau-Produktion

Thesis submitted for the Degree of Industrial Engineering  
Escuela Superior de Ingenieros  
Universidad de Sevilla

Sevilla, Jun 2009

## Abstract

When the weight of the output units coming from any manufacturing process is known and, as a consequence of a high turnover, a big amount of them has to be counted, counting scales are commonly used with this purpose. Since the goal of counting scales is counting units, for a general case *their task should not be limited to weighing and dividing by the unitary weight*. On the contrary, *they must register and count the leaps on the reading* during the process. Otherwise, changes or small uncertainties on the unitary weight, nonlinearities of the sensor measurements, falling shaving material or any other accidental change of the final weight would be translated into a wrong result at the final count.

Depending on the application for which they are designed, counting scales may implement many different characteristics and abilities like: different-weight-units counting, very high or low maximal number of units, detection and correction of impulsive-disturbances, intelligent correction of the expected unit weight or, even, alarm function for detection of defective pieces. Each characteristic determines some aspects of the algorithm to be used.

This thesis has been worked out in the laboratories of the Hochschule für Technik, Wirtschaft und Gestaltung ([HTWG](#)) Konstanz and deals with an industrial counting scale located in these laboratories as a part of a common general project between the already mentioned [HTWG](#) Konstanz and the companies DigiSens AG and Georg Fischer AG. In this document, an statistical study of the measurements is carried out and a counting algorithm is developed that fits the characteristics of this concrete scale.

“- *Cinq cent un millions six cent vingt-deux mille sept cent trente et un. Je suis sérieux, moi, je suis précis.*

*- Et que fais-tu de ces étoiles?”*

A. de Saint-Exupéry, “Le Petit Prince”

*A mis sobrinos Javier e Inés.  
A Salvi Ortolá, a Alejandro Calo, a Luis Valverde*

# Contents

<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>Acronym list</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Counting scales . . . . .	1
1.2 Description of this document . . . . .	2
<b>2 The Counting Scale of the HTWG Konstanz</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Mechanical design . . . . .	3
2.2 Measurement electronics . . . . .	4
2.3 Typical raw data . . . . .	6
<b>3 Goal of This Thesis</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4 Proposed Solutions</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1 Hinkley detector . . . . .	9
4.2 Gradient test . . . . .	9
4.3 Statistical test for quality control . . . . .	10

4.4	Double-sigma test . . . . .	11
<b>5</b>	<b>Statistical Analysis</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Hypothesis on the process . . . . .	12
5.2	Data selection and analysis of the standard deviation . . . . .	13
5.3	Comparison with the normal distribution . . . . .	13
5.4	Empirical adjustment of the probability density function . . . . .	15
<b>6</b>	<b>The Basic Algorithm</b>	<b>20</b>
6.1	Description of the algorithm . . . . .	20
6.2	Correction of the assumed mean of the process . . . . .	21
6.3	Test parameters and their influence in the test response . . . . .	22
6.4	Results and discussion . . . . .	25
<b>7</b>	<b>First Variation: The Self-Adapting Test</b>	<b>29</b>
7.1	Theoretic minimal reachable delay time values . . . . .	29
7.2	Description of the self-adapting test . . . . .	31
7.3	Confidence intervals and sample size in the self-adaptive test . . . . .	31
7.4	Optimal values . . . . .	35
7.5	Results and discussion . . . . .	38
<b>8</b>	<b>Second Variation: The Double Queue Algorithm</b>	<b>39</b>
8.1	Response with induced vibrations of the ground . . . . .	39
8.2	Double queue algorithm . . . . .	41
8.3	Results and discussion . . . . .	41
<b>9</b>	<b>Interesting Results</b>	<b>43</b>
9.1	The sample variance in the presence of steps . . . . .	43
9.2	The non-stationarity estimator . . . . .	45
<b>10</b>	<b>Summary, Discussion and Outlook</b>	<b>47</b>
10.1	Summary . . . . .	47

10.2	Discussion . . . . .	47
10.3	Outlook . . . . .	48
<b>A</b>	<b>Evolution of the expected value of the <i>sample variance</i> during steps</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Expected Value of the NSE Under Induced Oscillations</b>	<b>53</b>
B.1	The sample mean of a sampled sine-wave . . . . .	53
B.2	The sample variance of a stochastic process affected by oscillations .	54
B.3	Expected value of the NSE under induced oscillations . . . . .	57
<b>C</b>	<b>Matlab Codes</b>	<b>59</b>
C.1	Codes for the test design . . . . .	59
C.2	Codes for test simulations and results representation . . . . .	66
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>76</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Counting scale mechanical instalation . . . . .	3
2.2	Schema of weight sensors . . . . .	4
2.3	DigiSens's control panel . . . . .	5
2.4	Installed devices: Data acquisition target and weight sensor . . . . .	5
2.5	Data acquisition target . . . . .	6
3.1	Data spreading and steps corresponding to single falling units. . . . .	8
5.1	<i>Two data histograms compared to the normal distribution</i> . . . . .	15
5.2	11 equal-spaced bins data histogram . . . . .	15
5.3	Symmetric relative frequency distribution . . . . .	16
5.4	Low-pass filter fitting curve . . . . .	17
5.5	Spline fitting curve . . . . .	17
5.6	Difference between both fitting methods . . . . .	19
6.1	Flow diagram describing the algorithm for the test . . . . .	23
6.2	Delay Time vs. N with a medium test . . . . .	26
6.3	Delay Time vs. N with a wide test . . . . .	26
6.4	Basic algorithm applied to a data set with high standard deviation.	28
6.5	Basic algorithm applied to a data set with low standard deviation .	28
7.1	Compared results of wide and medium test . . . . .	30
7.2	Delay Time vs. N with an ideal test . . . . .	30
7.3	Flow diagram for the self-adapting test . . . . .	32

7.4	Calculation of optimal values . . . . .	35
7.5	Mean delay time for optimal values . . . . .	37
7.6	Results for four different data sets . . . . .	38
8.1	Effect of induced ground vibrations on weight measurements. Visible from sample 1700 on. . . . .	40
8.2	Response of the adaptive test with induced vibrations of the ground. .	40
8.3	Schema of the double queue algorithm for the estimation of $\sigma_{mean}$ . .	41
8.4	Behavior of the self-adapting test using the double-queue algorithm with and without induced ground vibrations. . . . .	42
9.1	Evolution of $S^2$ shows peaks over real data as predicted. . . . .	44
9.2	Evolution of $S^2$ during small noisy steps calculated directly and with the double-queue algorithm. . . . .	44
9.3	Evolution of the NSE during transition from stationary to non-stationary process. . . . .	46
B.1	Value of G . . . . .	55
B.2	Gain of the sample mean over a sine-wave input . . . . .	55

# List of Tables

5.1	Standard Deviation of selected measurement sets . . . . .	14
5.2	results of the $\chi^2$ test . . . . .	16
5.3	coefficients for the polynomials of the spline approximation . . . . .	18
7.1	Optimal values for the sample size $N$ and highest values of $S$ for which they are to be applied . . . . .	36

# Acknowledgments

Als erstes will ich mich ganz besonders bei meinem Betreuer Herr Nägele bedanken. Besonders möchte ich ihm für sein großes Interesse an meiner Arbeit und auch für seine Geduld, die er für meine Arbeit aufgebracht hat danken. Ich hoffe, dass sich das Warten am Ende gelohnt hat. Auf Grund seiner wunderbaren Persönlichkeit, sowie seiner stets guten Laune war die Zusammenarbeit mit ihm auch persönlich eine große Bereicherung für mich.

Das letzte Jahr in Konstanz, wo diese Arbeit entstanden ist, war für mich eine spannende und unvergessliche Erfahrung, durch die ich fachlich und auch persönlich viel lernen konnte. Während dieser Zeit habe ich viele Menschen getroffen, die mir viel geholfen haben und mir den Aufenthalt an der HTWG Konstanz dadurch sehr angenehm gemacht haben. Mein besonderer Dank gilt Frau Heisser, Herrn Blass, Herrn Schneider und Prof. Dr. Joachim Lauffer.

Quiero agradecer a mi profesor, Dr. Ing. Carlos Bordóns el haber aceptado ser mi tutor de proyecto en España a pesar de la distancia.  
Gracias a Luis Valverde y Pilar Monsalvete por ser mis correspondientes, mis ojos y mis manos en Sevilla, en cualquier despacho o ventanilla en que hiciera falta; a Jorge Cordero y a Salvi Ortolá por su ayuda con la edición de este documento. Gracias también a Pascale Lunt, Kai Etzel, Emin Huseynov, Andrew Costigan, a mi hermana Geli y a mi padre por sus revisiones y correcciones y a mi madre por todas sus ideas tan *innovadoras*.

Gracias a todos los que me habéis acompañado durante estos años en Sevilla y en Konstanz. Ha merecido la pena. Siempre os llevaré en *mi pendrive*.

# Acronym list

**HTWG** Hochschule für Technik, Wirtschaft und Gestaltung

**NSE** non-stationarity estimator

**PDF** probability density function

**UCL** higher control limit

**LCL** lower control limit

**CI** confidence interval